

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/081,409	02/21/2002		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
30954 750		Shubhen Kapila	399756	2767
LATHROP & GAGE LC 2345 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 2800 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108			EXAMINER LOVERING, RICHARD D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	KAPILA ETAL.
Office Action Summary	0 / 08 1 , 409 Examiner		<del></del>
	LOVERI	NG	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet be	eneath the c	correspondence address-
Period for Response			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	3_mon	TH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defeature to respond within the set or extended period for response will,</li> </ul>	, a response within the statutor	y minimum of t	thirty (30) days will be considered time
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on FB. 21, 2	2002		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193</li> </ul>	for formal matters, <b>prosec</b> 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	cution as to	the merits is closed in
Disp sition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1-5, 9-11 14, 31 AND 32		is/are r	pending in the application
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)			
A Claim(s) 1-5, 9-14, 31 AND 32	is/are r		
□ Claim(s)		is/are c	bliected to
□ Claim(s)		are sub	Diect to restriction or election
Application Papers		require	ment.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗖	disapproved	I.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.	•••	
<ul> <li>The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d) ne priority documents have	e been	
$\hfill\Box$ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number $\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the Interior	r) national Bureau (PCT Rule	e 1 7.2(a)).	<u></u> .
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			•
✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(  ———————————————————————————————————	(s) □ Inter	view Summ:	ary, PTO-413
			al Patent Application, PTO-152
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	⊔ Notic		ar ratent Application. Prosiss
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			arratent Application, P10-152

Serial No. 10/081,409

Art Unit 1712

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuhisa et al. 5,431,837, esp. Example 2. While Matsuhisa et al. do not state that their compositions are solvents for extracting, the recitation of a new or different intended use does not render an old composition new or patentable. See <u>In re Thuau</u>, 1943 C.D. 390; 554 O.G. 14; and <u>In re Zierden</u>, 411 F. 2d 1325; 162 USPQ 102.
- 3. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal

Serial No. 10/081,409 Art Unit 1712

Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR

Claims 1-5, 9-14, 16, 31 and 32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 15 of copending application Serial No. 09/491,185. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the stated instant claims read on, or at least overlap, the claim of the '185 application.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 5. 35 U.S.C. § 112:

> The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5, 9-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The proviso clauses lack antecedent basis and

from States of your print for the

Serial No. 10/081,409

Art Unit 1712

support in the original disclosure herein and in the parent case, and are considered new matter.

- Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first 7. paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for hydrofluorocarbon, perfluorocarbon, hydrochlorofluorocarbon or mixtures thereof as to the non-polar halogenated solvent, does not reasonably provide enablement for bromo-or hydrobromo-or iodo-or hydroiodo-carbons as the non-polar halogenated hydrocarbon. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants specifically disclose only certain fully or partially chlorinated or fluorinated hydrocarbons, and it would involve more than routine experimentation on the part of one having ordinary skill in the art to determine which bromo-, hydrobromo-, iodo-or hydroiodocarbons would be useful in the claimed solvent compositions, noting the different properties of bromo-or hydrobromo-and/or iodo-or hydroiodo-carbons vs. the per-fluoro, hydrofluoro- and hydrochlorofluoro-carbons.
- 8. The remaining references listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 are cumulative to the reference applied herein, and/or further show the state of the art.

Serial No. 10/081,409

-5-

Art Unit 1712

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lovering whose telephone number is (703) 308-0443. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R. Lovering:cdc March 5, 2003

NIE/AMA D'O CUERING (
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 12889 1700